

August 2016 Proposal for long-term monitoring of Squirrel Glider populations in Thurgoona-Wirlinga

Kylie Soanes and Rodney van der Ree



Contents

Executive summary	3	
Introduction and scope	4	
Section 1: Background	5	
 1.1 Monitoring programs to guide conservation efforts 1.2 Squirrel Glider management in human-modified landscapes 1.3 Squirrel Glider conservation in Thurgoona-Wirlinga 2.1 Developing a monitoring program to guide conservation efforts for the So Glider in Thurgoona-Wirlinga 2.2 Proposed monitoring methods for Squirrel Gliders in Thurgoona-Wirlinga 2.3 Project costs 2.4 Practical considerations 	quirr	5 7 el 10 12 18 19
Section 3: Next steps	21	
Appendix 1 – Summary of existing knowledge-base of Squirrel Gliders in Thurgoona-Wirlinga	22	
Appendix 2 – Potential complementary works	25	
Appendix 3 – Summary of potential monitoring methods for Squirrel		
Gliders	27	

Executive summary

Squirrel Gliders are widely distributed across Thurgoona-Wirlinga, occurring in patches of remnant woodland, roadsides and environmental plantings across the landscape. Several studies have been conducted on Squirrel Gliders within the region, including those commissioned by the Albury Conservation Company, community groups and government monitoring programs, impact assessments and university research projects. While these have all been informative in their own right, a coordinated approach is needed to understand the impacts of future urbanisation and the effectiveness of management actions on Squirrel Glider populations.

The Albury Conservation Company recognised the need for a long-term monitoring program to better understand the current and future status and distribution of Squirrel Glider populations across Thurgoona-Wirlinga, and help management best respond to future landscape change. Key aims include (but are not limited to):

- Providing information on the **important habitat features** influencing the presence of Squirrel Gliders
- Tracking **changes in the presence and distribution** of Squirrel Gliders across the landscape **over time**
- Engaging the community in the protection, and enhancement of Squirrel Glider populations by providing avenues to participate in monitoring and restoration works
- Maintaining a strong base program with potential to incorporate complementary research projects as funding and opportunities become available.

Here, we recommend that a simple, baseline program be established that can detect changes in the occupancy, distribution and abundance of Squirrel Gliders across the Thurgoona-Wirlinga. The proposed monitoring program consists of:

- Camera traps as the primary method used to determine occupancy and distribution of Squirrel Gliders at 80–100 sites set at 1 km intervals in a grid across the landscape. One camera will be set per site for seven nights, twice a year (Spring and Autumn).
- Habitat assessments at each site to categorise sites by quality and surrounding landscape. Sites will include a range of patch sizes, qualities and surrounding landscape uses.
- Fieldwork to be coordinated and guided by a Project Ecologist/s, and implemented in partnership with the local community as 'citizen scientists'.
- Citizen-scientists to inspect camera footage through the online Zooniverse platform.

We are seeking additional feedback from stakeholders and community members, to ensure that the proposed monitoring program is successful, practical and relevant to the local management of Squirrel Gliders. The Albury Conservation Company and Australian Research Centre for Urban Ecology will host a stakeholder engagement workshop in August 2016 to discuss the proposed goals, methods and desired outcomes of the monitoring program. The details of the monitoring program will then be finalised based on the input provided by stakeholders.

Introduction and scope

The Australian Research Centre for Urban Ecology has been commissioned by the Albury Conservation Company to develop a long-term monitoring program for Squirrel Gliders in Thurgoona-Wirlinga. This document outlines the draft monitoring proposal that has been developed following an initial discussion paper and consultation with the Albury Conservation Company.

This document (hereafter referred to as the Draft Plan) is intended to guide discussions at the stakeholder engagement workshop in August 2016. The goals of the workshop will be to discuss the feasibility of the proposed monitoring methods, identify key sites or sources of data that could further inform the monitoring program, and identify any opportunities and constraints that must be considered to ensure that the monitoring program is sustainable in the long term. Following stakeholder input, this document will then be formalised into a final monitoring program.

The Draft Plan consists of three sections. Section 1 provides background information on the Thurgoona-Wirlinga region, the ecology and conservation of Squirrel Gliders, and the factors that spurred the need for a coordinated monitoring program. In Section 2, we detail the goals and proposed methods of the monitoring program. Finally in Section 3, we summarise the next steps and highlight key points of discussion for the stakeholder workshop. This document is designed to facilitate productive discussions at the stakeholder workshop, collecting key information to ensure that the proposed monitoring program is practical, feasible and meets the needs of local managers and the community. We have identified key discussion questions throughout the Draft Plan, though these are intended as a guide only and should not constrain discussions.

The Draft Plan does not yet nominate key roles and responsibilities or provide a budget for the implementation of the monitoring program. We expect that these details will be finalised after stakeholder input and discussion of the monitoring components. These items have been flagged as discussion points at the workshop, and indicative information has been provided to help guide decision-making.

As well as forming this monitoring program, we are also creating a Squirrel Glider 'knowledge base'. The knowledge base aims to capture the extent of the current understanding of Squirrel Gliders across Thurgoona-Wirlinga, and collate information from the numerous surveys, research programs and conservation efforts that have occurred within the region. This will include a summary report, as well as a GIS database, detailing the location and effort of surveys to date, the distribution and abundance of the Squirrel Glider population and any existing conservation actions for the species within the region, and the extent of proposed urban developments. Obtaining and collating the various data sources has been a lengthy process, and we are still gathering information that can be included in the knowledge base. We expect that additional valuable data will come to light during the stakeholder workshop. We include a summary of the existing data in Appendix 1.

Section 1: Background

1.1 Monitoring programs to guide conservation efforts

Conserving wildlife within human-dominated landscapes is a key goal of conservation management. These landscapes often consist of islands of habitat in a 'matrix' of human activity. The matrix that surrounds patches can change dramatically over time, with potentially profound effects on persistence (Driscoll et al. 2013). Rapid urban growth on the fringes of cities and towns is a key driver of landscape change (Ramalho and Hobbs 2012). As agricultural land is converted to urban developments, animals are presented with a vastly different matrix, in which roads, light and noise, buildings and manicured gardens replace crops or grazing land. Whether a species finds the new matrix helpful or hostile depends on their ability and willingness to traverse gaps in preferred habitat, their tolerance for disturbance, or ability to exploit novel resources (Garden et al. 2006). It is therefore critical that we understand the way in which changing the matrix type affects species persistence within the landscape. Long-term monitoring programs that track the response of wildlife to landscape change over time, investigate multiple species and multiple landscapes will greatly improve our understanding and management of species in within human-dominated landscapes (Fischer et al. 2005; Holland et al. 2012).

1.2 Squirrel Glider management in human-modified landscapes

Squirrel Gliders (Box 1) are highly susceptible to the negative effects of landscape change, which has led to the decline of the species throughout the southern-most parts of its range. Their dependence on tree hollows for shelter and nesting, and their limited ability to cross gaps in tree cover mean that they are particularly vulnerable to habitat loss and fragmentation. However, Squirrel Gliders persist within agricultural and urban landscapes if sufficient habitat resources are available and threats are minimised. For example, Squirrel Gliders occur in linear roadside remnants, small patches of vegetation on farmland or in urban areas (e.g. Claridge and van der Ree 2004; Crane et al. 2014; Francis et al. 2015; van der Ree 2002). The density of populations within linear roadside strips can be similar to that observed in continuous forest.

Box 1: Squirrel Glider ecology

The Squirrel Glider is a medium sized (190–300 g) arboreal marsupial often found in remnant and roadside patches of *Eucalyptus* woodland in southeastern Australia. Squirrel Gliders are nocturnal and feed mainly on arboreal insects, but their diet can vary seasonally to include nectar, pollen, and sap. The average home range of a Squirrel Glider ranges from 1.5–6 ha but depends largely on the shape and quality of the available habitat (Quin 1995; Sharpe and Goldingay 2007; van der Ree and Bennett 2003). Squirrel Gliders primarily move through their home range by gliding from tree to tree. The average glide length is 30–40 m, with a maximum glide length of approximately 70 m, depending on the tree height (van der Ree et al. 2004). Squirrel Gliders rarely move across the ground, but when they do they are easily preyed upon by predators such as owls, foxes and cats. As such, gliders are negatively affected by habitat fragmentation, particularly large gaps between trees.

Squirrel Gliders live in social groups of related individuals that defend a local territory. Social groups typically consist of an adult male, an adult female and their offspring or siblings and can include up to eight individuals. Multiple family groups may inhabit a single patch, depending on the size and configuration of the patch, and the availability of feeding and nesting resources. Squirrel Gliders nest, or den, communally in tree hollows, typically utilising multiple den trees within their home range. Hollow bearing trees are therefore a critical resource, without which Squirrel Gliders are unable to shelter and raise young. Female Squirrel Gliders usually give birth to one or two young between April and November and may produce a second litter within a season if sufficient resources are available. The average population density ranges from 0.50 to 1.5 individuals per hectare depending on habitat quality (van der Ree 2002). Squirrel Glider populations can fluctuate over time in response to food availability, particularly when populations depend on flowering trees as a primary food resource. For example, high adult mortality during periods of low flower production caused an abrupt decline in the density of a Squirrel Glider population on the north coast of NSW (Sharpe and Goldingay 2010).



Figure 1. The Squirrel Glider, and in mid glide after being released from trap (right). Images courtesy of Lochman Transparencies (left) and Kylie Soanes (right).

A high degree of connectivity among habitat patches and the prevalence of critical habitat resources (e.g. hollows and food trees) can allow Squirrel Gliders to remain and even thrive in heavily modified landscapes. Well-connected habitats allow individuals to move throughout the landscape to access resources that are patchily distributed or seasonal. Connectivity also allows for dispersal among patches, ensuring that animals can avoid natural disturbances (e.g. fire, disease) and vacant

patches can be recolonised when local extinctions occur. As such, common management actions include the provision of habitat resources (e.g. plantings and nest boxes) and connectivity (e.g. corridors and crossing structures). However, relative success of different management strategies is unclear and questions of 'how much is enough?' are largely unanswered, so predicting the response of Squirrel Glider populations to management at a local scale is often difficult. Longterm monitoring programs can help improve the state of knowledge in a systematic way, measuring the current status of populations and their response to change.

1.3 Squirrel Glider conservation in Thurgoona-Wirlinga

Squirrel Gliders are widely distributed across Thurgoona-Wirlinga, occurring in patches of remnant woodland, roadsides and environmental plantings across the landscape. Their persistence in the region is largely attributed to the retention of remnant patches of mature woodland, and the extensive network of "forward tree planting" that was undertaken during the 1960s-70s (Davidson et al. 2004). The Thurgoona-Wirlinga population is likely connected to several neighbouring Squirrel Glider populations including Albury, Mullengandra, Burrumbuttock and Chiltern. However the precise meta-population dynamics and viability of populations in this region is unknown.

Squirrel Gliders are a local faunal icon and an important part of the natural heritage of Thurgoona-Wirlinga. There is strong community support for conservation actions and engagement through a number of groups including (but not limited to) the Albury Conservation Company, Nature Conservation Trust and the Slopes to Summit partnership. Community members are involved in planting and restoring habitat, creating corridors between habitat patches and many even have nest boxes in their backyards. The Squirrel Glider is an important part of the community's engagement with the local environment and the impetus of many government funded environmental restoration projects (e.g. Saving Our Species, Environmental Trust).

The Thurgoona-Wirlinga region is currently undergoing major landscape change, transforming from a predominantly semi-rural community to a more intensely suburbanised landscape (Figure 1). This will include additional residential developments, new and wider roads, as well as facilities such as schools and shopping centres (RPS 2013). Inevitably this will result in the loss and further fragmentation of Squirrel Glider habitat within Thurgoona-Wirlinga. The urban expansion may affect the natural heritage of the area as well as the efficacy of past and future conservation actions. Current planning documents highlight a proposed conservation network, including urban reserves and corridors for environmental management. The degree to which this will sufficiently preserve Squirrel Glider populations amid changing adjacent land-use is uncertain, and will depend upon:

- the extent and spatial configuration of the habitat,
- the quality of habitat,
- resources provided by the urban matrix
- the negative influence of these new land-uses (threats/degradation)
- future conservation management practises on glider survival and habitat suitability.

Several studies have been conducted on Squirrel Gliders within the region, including those commissioned by the Albury Conservation Company, community groups and government monitoring programs, impact assessments and university research projects. These studies, conducted over the past 10 years, give a preliminary overview of the spread of Squirrel Gliders throughout the landscape (Figure 2). Each of these studies has largely been conducted in isolation, driven by August 2016

their own particular research questions. While these have all been informative in their own right, a coordinated approach is needed to understand the impacts of future urbanisation and the effectiveness of management actions on Squirrel Glider populations. There is also an urgent need to collate and interpret the results of the research to date to inform a unified way forward (see Appendix 1).

Workshop discussion

• Is there additional information on that could be incorporated into the knowledge-base?



Figure 2. Preliminary data on the distribution of Squirrel Glider surveys and records across Thurgoona-Wirlinga (red boundary) from 2003 to 2013, showing the locations where animals have been detected (dots) and those where no gliders have been detected (crossed circles).

2.1 Developing a monitoring program to guide conservation efforts for the Squirrel Glider in Thurgoona-Wirlinga

The Albury Conservation Company recognised the need for a long-term monitoring program to better understand the current and future status and distribution of Squirrel Glider populations across Thurgoona-Wirlinga and help management best respond to future landscape change.

The keys to a successful monitoring program include:

- use a study design with sufficient inferential strength (i.e. the ability to detect an
 effect if it exists)
- · measurements to be taken at appropriate spatial and temporal scales,
- the use of measurement indicators relevant to the effect being tested or measured
- ensure engagement and a sense of ownership with key stakeholders and community members
- · goals that are closely aligned to management actions

By adhering to these principles, we can avoid common pitfalls that lead the vast majority of ecological monitoring programs to fail (see Field et al. 2007, Legg and Nagy 2006 Lindenmayer and Likens 2009 for review).

The Draft Plan has been developed following extensive research as well as consultation with local stakeholders and is guided by existing knowledge of Squirrel Gliders within the region. We outline the rationale and proposed methods here, noting that they will be finalised following wider consultation at the stakeholder workshop in August 2016.

The intent is that the program be scientifically rigorous, providing information both for local management but also builds on and contributing to the broader understanding of the ecology of Squirrel Gliders and other threatened species in human-modified landscapes. However, the objectives of this monitoring program are not limited to scientific and management outcomes. Increasingly, the ability of a monitoring program to include community members is as important as scientific rigour, and this was acknowledged early in the development of the Draft Plan. The success of monitoring programs often depends on community support, particularly if funding is scarce and the program relies on the contribution of volunteers. If the community are not engaged and informed, the program is unlikely to survive beyond a few years. We believe the monitoring program proposed in the Draft Plan maximises community engagement and ensures that the information collected is of sufficient quality to guide management decisions. There is potential for the Draft Plan to serve as a blueprint for other similar landscapes and species (e.g. Conservation planning, community-run monitoring).

2.1.1 Goals of the Monitoring Program

The broad aims stated by the Albury Conservation Company included a need to determine the current status of Squirrel Gliders in Thurgoona-Wirlinga, and an ability to track population change over time in a way that can inform management.

Based on the current known distribution, predicted landscape change and future threats to Squirrel Glider populations in the Thurgoona-Wirlinga district, and community/other concerns we highlight the following goals:

- Determine the **current status** of Squirrel Gliders in Thurgoona-Wirlinga (e.g. size and spread of population)
- Provide information on the **important habitat features** influencing the presence of Squirrel Gliders

- Track changes in the presence and distribution of Squirrel Gliders across the landscape over time
- Determine the **impact of urbanisation** on Squirrel Glider populations within key 'stronghold' patches (as indicated by previous studies)
- Evaluate the **effectiveness of management** actions designed to improve the persistence of Squirrel Glider populations in 'lower quality' patches
- Obtain information on the type of **threats and resources** that operate within the Thurgoona-Wirlinga landscape
- Provide data that will **allow analysis of the viability** of Squirrel Gliders in individual patches and the landscape as a whole, including predicted response to changes over time
- Engage the community in the protection, and enhancement of Squirrel Glider populations by providing avenues to participate in monitoring and restoration works
- Maintain a strong base program but be amenable to incorporating complementary research projects as funding and opportunities become available.

2.1.2 Identified constraints

There are several key constraints that must be taken into account when designing this monitoring program. These, along with the overarching goals, have influenced the decisions that have been made about the proposed monitoring methods.

- Limited funding There is currently a lack of secure and ongoing funding to support a large-scale, long-term monitoring program. It is possible (and likely) that additional funds will be sought and obtained in future (e.g. through grants, studentships etc.). However, a monitoring program that is dependent on intermittent and uncertain funding is unlikely to succeed in the long-term. Therefore it is crucial that the methods selected for the core monitoring program be relatively low cost. Additional complementary works can be added to the program if and when funds are available (see Appendix 2 for example suggestions). Programs that are inexpensive, simple to implement and that encourage community engagement are more likely to last in the long term.
- Community engagement a core goal of this program is to involve the local community and stakeholders in the management, monitoring and conservation of Squirrel Gliders across Thurgoona-Wirlinga. Therefore the bulk of the methods selected needed to be those which can be easily implemented by the community, and which offer engaging and entertaining insights into the natural world. Furthermore, many monitoring programs fail because they depend on a single 'champion' who drives the work. When this champion moves on, the program often ceases to continue. If the community feel a sense of ownership and core contribution to the monitoring program, it will be more viable in the long-term.
- *Expertise* the limitations on funding and the desire for community engagement dictate a need for simple, easy-to-use monitoring methods that do not require extensive hours by expert field scientists.
- Flexibility the program needs to be able to grow and respond to external constraints and needs without compromising the long-term goals. For example, it should be robust to missing a survey season due to unforseen circumstances (weather or lack of resources). Further, it should be able to incorporate and build on existing monitoring efforts and community works (e.g. nest boxes, opportunistic records etc.).

2.2 Proposed monitoring methods for Squirrel Gliders in Thurgoona-Wirlinga

Based on the goals and constraints listed above, we recommend that a simple, baseline program be established that can detect changes in the occupancy, distribution and abundance of Squirrel Gliders across the landscape. The proposed monitoring program will determine the presence-absence (also known as 'occupancy') of Squirrel Gliders across a large number of sites in the Thurgoona-Wirlinga landscape, and determine the habitat and landscape variables that influence the species presence over time.

The monitoring program in a nutshell:

- Camera traps are the primary method used to determine occupancy and distribution.
- 80–100 sites set at 1 km intervals in a grid across the landscape.
- One camera per site set for seven nights, twice a year (Spring and Autumn).
- Habitat assessments at each site will categorise sites by quality and surrounding landscape. Sites will include a range of patch sizes, qualities and surrounding landscape uses.
- Field work will be coordinated and guided by a Project Ecologist/s, and implemented in partnership with the local community as 'citizen scientists'.
- Citizen-scientists to inspect camera footage through the online Zooniverse platform.
- Data will be analysed by the Project Ecologist/s, who will prepare yearly summary reports. Rigorous data analysis is to be completed after several years of data have been collected.

This baseline program can be complemented with additional works as resources and opportunities become available in future, or new research questions are raised (Appendix 2). These works could include targeted research studies (e.g. student projects addressing specific research questions) or the monitoring of additional species or environmental features (e.g. bird surveys, vegetation mapping etc). This allows the monitoring program scope to develop over time, while still maintaining a robust, baseline measure that provides some consistency throughout the program.

2.2.1 Response variables: occupancy and distribution over time

Taking into account the goals and constraints, the most practical, feasible and informative response variables to measure will be the occupancy and relative activity of Squirrel Gliders within patches across the landscape. That is, for a broad number of sampling locations;

- How many patches are occupied by Squirrel Gliders at a given time?
- What are the qualities of occupied and unoccupied patches? What are the factors affecting the presence of gliders in a patch?
- How does this change over time in response to changes within the patch or in the surrounding landscape? Do patches close to development become unoccupied over time? Do patches that receive habitat restoration works become occupied over time?

Occupancy is relatively simple to measure using camera traps and can be supplemented by other data such as nest boxes, spotlighting or other sightings, allowing concurrent activities to feed into the long-term program.

2.2.2 Monitoring method: Camera trapping

We recommend the baseline program use camera traps to monitor Squirrel Gliders throughout Thurgoona-Wirlinga. Camera traps are relatively inexpensive, simple to use and are gaining popularity, particularly in community-based monitoring programs. Camera traps can meet the primary goals of determining presence, absence and distribution and therefore are ideal for this program. Furthermore, recent developments in analysis mean that cameras can also provide data on relative abundance/activity, creating the potential to analyse population trends.

Each camera will be fixed to the tree trunk at approximately 3–5 m high using straps or a wooden bracket (depending on the tree). Animals will be lured to the camera by a bait station – a mixture of honey, oats and peanut butter, secured inside a tea-infuser so that animals will be attracted to investigate, but cannot remove the bait. The aim will be to maximize the likelihood of detection by selecting a 'high-quality' tree for each camera, then consistently using the same tree for subsequent surveys to minimize factors that might influence detectability over time. The Project Ecologist/s will provide guidance and training on tree selection and camera placement, including written protocols and in-field workshops where necessary.

We suggest the use of infrared, 'covert' trail cameras that have no visible flash to minimise the impact on the animals' behavior (Reconyx Hyperfire). The cameras are capable of detecting a range of arboreal species including the Squirrel Glider, Common Brushtail and Ringtail Possums, and the Brush-tailed Phascogale. We recommend cameras be set for 7 nights at each site (though initial trials will be conducted to gauge battery life). This would encourage the involvement of citizen scientists, as camera traps could be set on a weekend, and then taken down the following weekend. Though camera traps are low impact, ethics approval will need to be obtained for the project. Precise camera set up (e.g. settings, position etc.) will be determined in the next phase of the program development, and based on previous successful camera-trap programs. These details will be part of the established protocol for implementing the fieldwork.

Risk of theft and vandalism is always a concern when using camera traps, particularly in urban areas that have high human activity. A number of steps can be taken to reduce the risks. Cameras can be placed out of sight as much as possible, camouflaged, and at a height that is difficult to reach (minimum 3 m). The location of trap sites and timing of surveys should not be broadly advertised beyond the volunteer group. Ensuring that cameras are not set out for extended periods of time can also reduce the risks.

When compared to live-trapping, there is some information that camera traps cannot provide, including the number of individuals at a site, sex ratios, reproductive output, the survival of individual animals through time, and age structure of population. However, while a regular live-trapping program would yield more information, it is unlikely that it would be viable in the long-term as live-trapping or mark-recapture programs require more funding, highly-trained staff and have little scope for community involvement. A program based on camera traps will provide enough information to guide management actions, engage the local community in conservation, and is more likely to last in the long-term.

In addition to the baseline monitoring program, the cameras can be used to target specific management actions. For example, cameras can be periodically set in planting corridors to determine how long it takes before they are used by wildlife, or placed in yards and urban parks to determine whether gliders make use of areas that are more heavily frequented by humans (e.g. as a 'Backyard Bioblitz'). Just as

for the monitoring program, we recommend these additional works be carefully designed and carried out to the highest possible scientific standards.

Workshop discussion

- How feasible is the inclusion of volunteer/citizen science in the program? Would there be enough local interest? How might we find a regular source of volunteers?
- Is there potential to borrow cameras from local groups? Or use purchased cameras for other local projects?

2.2.3 Monitoring sites

We recommend a landscape-wide monitoring grid be established across Thurgoona-Wirlinga.

The goals of a grid-based approach are to:

- Reduce bias in site selection, ensuring equal monitoring effort across a range of sites
- Estimate the distribution/spread of habitat used by Squirrel Gliders across the landscape and ability to track changes in this spread over time (e.g. expanding in response to habitat restoration, or contraction in response to urban development).
- Build on existing knowledge from highly sampled sites (capitalise on existing investment)
- Provide new information about previously unknown sites
- Cover a range of habitat types, including urban and rural areas, different patch sizes and quality, and areas for community engagement
- Allow for systematic sub-sampling if survey effort must be reduced due to lack of resources in the future

We suggest a 9km x 9km grid with grid-points at 1km intervals. The intersections represent potential sampling sites, in which each site is a single camera. Sites that are unsuitable can be relocated to nearest habitat within 100 m. If no suitable habitat is available within 100 m, then that point will be removed from the survey effort, with potential to be re-located to a site that is a high management or community engagement priority but not currently covered by the survey grid. Additional sites can be added as needed to increase representativeness in key areas of management or community interest. This method gives us a representative view of the region, as well as allows flexibility to address specific issues/locations. For example, some survey points may be lost to future development, while new points may arise in areas where revegetation works occur. The grid approach also allows for the systematic addition of complementary surveys for additional species or environmental attributes if there are opportunities to expand the scope of the monitoring program in the future.

We anticipate the number of sites to range from 80 to 100. Monitoring the same sites consistently from year to year strengthens the ability of the program to track changes in Squirrel Glider populations over time.

Depending on the number of cameras available, sites may be monitored simultaneously, or over a period of several weeks as cameras are shifted among sites. For example, if using several teams of volunteers, 40 to 50 sites could be set over a weekend. This would allow all sites to be surveyed in single month (i.e. half set on weekend 1, retrieved on weekend 2, the remaining half set on weekend 3 and the retrieved on weekend 4). If only 25 cameras were available, this would take twice the amount of time.

Final site selection within the grid will consider:

- Priority areas for research and management
- Capitalising on previous research
- · Improving knowledge about under-researched areas
- Future urbanisation impacts
- Stratification to include sites with a range of habitat qualities
- Access to private property/willing participation
- Future land tenure
- Risk of theft/vandalism

Workshop discussion

- Does the proposed extent of the sampling range seem suitable? Too small? Too large?
- After unsuitable sites are removed/relocated, is the survey grid likely to provide adequate coverage and capture key sites? Are any important locations likely to be missed? Are there likely to be issues accessing any of the proposed sites?
- Are there any current or future management sites that should be targeted by the surveys?



Figure 3. Suggested monitoring locations for Squirrel Gliders across Thurgoona-Wirlinga based on a 9km x 9km grid with sites at 1 km intervals. Each dot represents a camera location. Sites that fall in unsuitable areas can be relocated to the nearest potential habitat (within 100-200 m), or removed from the sampling grid.

2.2.4 Monitoring frequency and duration

Yearly surveys will allow us to track changes in the presence and abundance of Squirrel Gliders over time. We recommend surveys be conducted twice per year – summer and autumn – to get a full understanding of how Squirrel Gliders use the landscape. For example, Squirrel Gliders often use different parts of the landscape at different times of the year, depending on the availability of seasonal food sources. A patch may be vacant in summer, but occupied in winter due to the flowering of a specific tree species.

Workshop discussion

 How suitable is the timing of the surveys? Are there other events that surveys could be combined with to increase the level of engagement?

2.2.5 Habitat assessments

Conducting habitat assessments at each site will allow us to better understand the influence of habitat variables on the presence and abundance of Squirrel Glider populations, and track these changes over time. Rapid assessments, using a simple form developed by ARCUE, will be conducted to assess the condition of the habitat patch within a 100 m radius of the camera during each survey season (e.g. number and tree species, approximate size, visible hollows or nest boxes etc.). GIS data can be combined with on ground surveys and local knowledge to track changes in the surrounding landscape over broader timescales (e.g. corridors, urban developments etc.). Local community and stakeholders can contribute further by noting landscape changes in the periods between monitoring (e.g. clearing, restoration work, fire etc.). This information can be incorporated into later analysis to determine the effect of habitat and landscape features on the persistence of Squirrel Gliders within each patch.

Workshop discussion

• Is there existing habitat information, or data on current management and nest box programs that could be incorporated here?

2.2.6 Incorporating data from other local programs

It is likely that many other local conservation actions and monitoring works can be used to complement the data from this monitoring program. For example, information from nest box surveys, 'bioblitzes' and opportunistic sightings will offer valuable additional insights about the presence of Squirrel Gliders both within the proposed monitoring network and at unsurveyed sites. We recommend a formal reporting system be made available so that these records can be incorporated into the existing dataset. This may be as simple as encouraging people to record observations within the Atlas of Living Australia, and then retrieving these entries on a regular basis. Any additional data that is incorporated should be clearly flagged so that there is a clear distinction between information gained from the camera-trap surveys, and information gleaned from other sources. If done carefully, this information can be used to improve the power and comprehensiveness of the monitoring program, as well as allow us to take imperfect detection into account when the data is analysed (e.g. if a camera fails to detect Squirrel Gilders at a site, but nest box surveys show that they are present). Importantly, these observations should be used in addition to the monitoring program, and not 'instead of' the standardised survey effort at any given site, as different survey methods have different chances of detecting animals.

Workshop discussion

- Are there other opportunities for engagement and collaboration with local conservation actions? Potential other sources of data?
- What would be a helpful format for sharing data across groups?

2.2.7 Data entry/analysis

The largest task will be inspecting the data collected by the camera traps. A camera trap program of this scale has the potential to generate 1000s of images/videos each season. However, online platforms such as Zooniverse (https://www.zooniverse.org) allow engaged citizen scientists to contribute to scientific research and accurately catalogue large volumes of camera data. Researchers can create projects on the Zooniverse website and upload the camera trap data that needs to be inspected. Registered users from around the world are then able to log on and complete the data entry for a given project. To increase the accuracy of the data, Zooniverse uses a 'vote count' system, in which multiple users inspect each image. If there is disagreement on the identity of the species, the image is flagged and the researchers can verify it later. The platform has been successful for a wide range of projects and led to published research in scientific journals. A guide to identifying Squirrel Gliders and distinguishing them from Sugar Gliders and other arboreal mammals will be created and made available to all volunteers.

The resulting data will be analysed by the Project Ecologist who will then produce a report, maps and recommendations based on each survey. This yearly report will generate basic descriptive data (e.g. number of records, location, changes from previous surveys). Detailed statistical analysis will not be completed until the project has been ongoing for several years, allowing sufficient data to accumulate to analyse occupancy, detectability and abundance.

Researchers from the Zoological Society of London (ZSL) have recently developed the 'Camera Trap Tool', a free program that helps store, organise and analyse data from long-term camera trap studies. Once the data is uploaded into the program, users can quickly obtain information on the monitoring effort, number of species detected and the spatial distribution. The program is also integrated with Google Earth, and will automatically map the camera locations and presence of species (note that the precise location of camera traps will not be publicised, to reduce risk of theft or vandalism). The ZSL have also developed protocols and guidance on the use of camera traps and management of data. This potentially allows for greater involvement of community members not just in deploying the cameras, but also entering and managing data while maintaining scientific rigour. If this program becomes available by the time the monitoring is implemented, we recommend including it as part of the program.

2.3 Project costs

It is not possible to create a detailed budget until an agreement has been reached about the methods and scale of the project, and the scope for volunteer involvement. We anticipate that August workshop will help identify key resources, additional sources of funding and volunteer networks that will inform the final cost of the monitoring program. A detailed budget will be finalised following the stakeholder workshop. However, we have provided indicative costs for some aspects of the project here to facilitate discussion.

The main cost is the initial outlay of purchasing cameras – Reconyx Hyperfire at a cost of approximately \$850 each. Once the cameras are purchased, the only additional costs each year relate to deployment and data analysis (and occasional maintenance/replacement of cameras). The final number of cameras included in the program will depend on the funds available, but we expect that 25–50 will be required – these can then be rotated through the sites.

The total cost could be minimised if there are opportunities to borrow cameras from other groups. However, we caution that the same make and model of camera be used throughout the monitoring because effectiveness (sensor sensitivity, number of images, time between trigger events etc.) can vary widely between cameras, potentially affecting the reliability of findings. Further, the ACC will be able to use the cameras for other monitoring works, or hire them to others.

Following guidance from the Project Ecologist/s, we anticipate that volunteers from the community could contribute to a large portion of this program. This includes setting camera traps, conducting on-site habitat assessments, and inspecting camera data.

Other resources that will be required include:

- Vehicles for field work
- GPS units
- Brackets to mount cameras to trees
- Batteries (for cameras and GPS units)
- Memory cards for cameras
- Ladders
- Bait holders (tea infusers) for each camera
- Bait (honey, oats and peanut butter)

It is likely that much of this equipment can be provided by the project ecologist or as in-kind support.

2.4 Practical considerations

There are a range of practical aspects that will be important to the success of this project. The roles and responsibilities of each party will need to be determined and clearly stated in the final monitoring program. We provide a list here of issues that will need to be considered and detailed in the final version of the monitoring program, and welcome further discussion of these at the August workshop.

- Safety procedures and risk assessments Mounting cameras will require working at heights and within roadside areas.
- Project Ecologist/s to oversee implementation Ongoing input from an ecologist is critical to ensure that the monitoring program continuous to be scientifically rigorous. The Project Ecologist/s should be consistent throughout the life of the program to allow long-term relationship building and consistent oversight.
- *Recruitment of volunteers for field days* The program will involve extensive input from volunteer citizen-scientists. A plan will need to be put in place to regularly recruit volunteers to ensure that fieldwork can be completed each season.
- Guidance for volunteers Clear, detailed and easy-to-follow protocols for camera set up, habitat assessments, and species identification will be required.

- *Provision of field equipment* Could be from ecologist team overseeing the program, ACC existing stores, or loaned from other locations. If equipment is to be borrowed, there should be some level of assurance that it will be available for each field season.
- Incorporating additional works There is great scope to include complementary works within or alongside this monitoring program. Consultation and collaboration will be required to ensure that any additional projects are carried out without compromising integrity of longterm program and that they are best 'bang for buck'.
- *Ethics approvals* ethics approval will be required to complete the fieldwork for this project.
- Ongoing funding there is a need to secure ongoing funding that would allow the monitoring program to continue into the long-term.

Section 3: Next steps

It is clear that a coordinated, robust, long-term monitoring program would greatly benefit the management of Squirrel Gliders in Thurgoona-Wirlinga, particularly as the region is currently undergoing significant landscape change. This represents a unique opportunity for conservation and engagement in an urban area and could yield insights into the impact of urbanisation on other threatened species or regions and on the effectiveness of conservation measures. These topics are highly relevant to conservation managers, scientists and community members.

A camera-based monitoring program has the highest likelihood of persisting in the long-term, but there are limitations to the types of questions that can be answered. Questions that fall outside the scope of camera monitoring could be addressed through a series of smaller, targeted studies.

We are seeking additional feedback from stakeholders and community members, to ensure that the proposed plan is successful, practical and relevant to the local management of Squirrel Gliders. The Albury Conservation Company and Australian Research Centre for Urban Ecology will host a stakeholder engagement workshop in August 2016 to discuss the proposed goals, methods and desired outcomes of the monitoring plan.

During this workshop, we seek to:

- Reach a consensus on the key goals and outcomes of the monitoring program,
- Identify any unanswered questions that are hampering management decisions,
- Identify priority sites for monitoring
- Discuss the feasibility of the proposed methods, including opportunities to borrow necessary equipment,
- Identify potential links with existing management and monitoring works,
- Discuss opportunities for further funding,
- Discuss additional research projects that could complement the core monitoring plan,
- Clarify the importance of community engagement and scope for volunteer involvement, and
- Identify any as-yet-unrecognised constraints.

The monitoring program will be finalised following the August 2016 workshop.

Appendix 1 – Summary of existing knowledge-base of Squirrel Gliders in Thurgoona-Wirlinga

We found records of ten studies of Squirrel Gliders that were conducted within the Thurgoona-Wirlinga area (Table 1). Of these, six reports (or their associated data) could be accessed in full (*Draft note: we are actively seeking others*). We also obtained records through the Atlas of Living Australia, which were sorted, collated and crosschecked to reduce duplication. Through these reports and atlas records, we collated Squirrel Glider records from the Thurgoona-Wirlinga area into a single database. The database currently includes 109 locations where Squirrel Glider surveys have been conducted or animals have been located between 2003 and 2011. We expect this to grow as more data becomes available and can be incorporated into the database.

The records comprise trapping surveys, spotlighting surveys, camera trap surveys, nest-box records, opportunistic sightings and carcass retrievals. Where trapping surveys were conducted, the authors included information on the sex, size and reproductive output of individual gliders. Genetic samples were collected from at least 90 individuals, and are currently being analysed as part of a research project funded by the Office of Environment and Heritage.

While on the surface it may appear that much work has been done on Squirrel Gliders in the Thurgoona-Wirlinga area, the lack of a consistent, coordinated approach means the information that can be gleaned from the existing data is limited. We found it very difficult to collate records from multiple, scattered sources, and indeed, we are still in the process of verifying and consolidating records into a single database (dates, locations, coordinates, and the studies that they are associated with). Only two studies included repeat surveys to a site over multiple years: the monitoring programs by NGH environmental (4 sites) and ARCUE (1 site) in relation to the duplication of the Hume Freeway and Bypass. The lack of repeated, systematic surveys at individual sites makes it challenging to track the changes in Squirrel Glider populations over time.

Report title and publication year	Author	Year of surveys	Report available	Data available
Flora and Fauna feasibility study 'Englobo' development Thurgoona Drive/Kerr Rd	Grabham and Datson	2003	Y	Ν
Feasibility study for proposed development of land on the north-west corner of Vickers Road/Dallinger Rd (2003)	Datson and Grabham	2003	Y	Y (within ALA)
The Distribution and Status of the Squirrel Glider, Petaurus norfolcensis, in the Thurgoona Area of Albury (2003)	van der Ree	2003*	Y	Incomplete
Terrestrial Fauna Monitoring Program - Albury Wodonga National Highway Maintenance	NGH	2008- 2011	Y	Y

Table 1. Reports generated from Squirrel Glider research projects within theThurgoona-Wirlinga region.

August 2016 Proposal for long-term monitoring of Squirrel Glider populations in Thurgoona-Wirlinga Royal Botanic Gardens Victoria

Program (2008–2011)				
Population Viability Analysis for Squirrel Gliders in the Thurgoona and Albury Ranges Region of New South Wales (2009)	Stewart and van der Ree	2007	Y	Y
The Influence of Urban Encroachment on Squirrel Gliders (Petaurus norfolcensis): Effects of Road Density, Light and Noise Pollution (2015)	Francis, Spooner and Matthews	2013	Y	Y
Hume Highway Duplication and Bypasses (2008–2014)	Soanes and van der Ree	2007- 2013	Y	Y
Glider Project at the Lake Hume Spillway Area (year 1 of 2 year program) – surveys, nest boxes, revegetation (Woolshed Thurgoona Landcare Group)			N	Ν
Nest Box Inventory at the National Environment Centre – Parklands Albury Wodonga			N	N
Targeted Squirrel Glider Surveys Ettamogah Intermodal Rail Hub – Final Report (2007)	van der Ree	2007	Y	Y

Reports on sightings from 1996 to 2003.

Squirrel Gliders are widely distributed across Thurgoona-Wirlinga and several locations bordering the region (Figure 2). Records have been obtained from a variety of sources and methods, including spotlighting, live-trapping, nest-box inspections, hair tubes and opportunistic sightings. It appears that Squirrel Gliders have been detected in almost every patch where searches have been conducted, suggesting that they are locally common. Larger reserves or 'hot spot' areas include Bell's TSR, sections along Old Sydney Road, Mitchell Park, and the vegetation surrounding the Thurgoona Bypass. Some areas have received less attention in research and monitoring projects than others, and these should be priority areas for monitoring surveys to establish whether gliders are present. Wirlinga in particular is relatively unsurveyed.

Squirrel Gliders are also present in areas adjacent to the Thurgoona-Wirlinga area, including on the opposite side of the Freeway in Laverton and Albury, Mullengandra, Holbrook, Burrumbuttock and south to Chiltern. Maintaining connections with these areas may be important to the long-term persistence of Squirrel Glider populations in each of these regions. Genetic studies are currently underway to determine the extent to which the Thurgoona-Wirlinga Squirrel Glider populations in nearby areas.

It is clear that Squirrel Gliders are widely distributed across the region. Unfortunately the sporadic surveying and varied nature of the existing information makes it difficult to estimate population size or trends over time. Many places were surveyed only once or with methods that do not allow accurate estimation of population size. Several patches support resident, breeding populations that have remained persistent in size over time (across 5-10 years of surveys). For example, Squirrel Gliders can be routinely captured at Bell's TSR and the roadside of the Thurgoona Drive-Hume Freeway bypass, and animals are repeatedly detected in nest boxes across the region. If this trend can be generalised to the lesser-studied patches, it is likely that the current population is stable.

Appendix 2 – Potential complementary works

As particular management questions arise, targeted studies could be used to supplement the baseline program. This may include work for student projects or community grants.

Examples of potential side-projects include:

Nest boxes for conservation

There are several interesting questions about the use of nest boxes by Squirrel Gliders that could assist managers in Thurgoona-Wirlinga. How quickly to animals take up nest boxes? Do different nest designs effectively exclude competitors? Are nest boxes suitable all year round? Does the placement or construction material affect suitability for gliders (e.g. Temperature, weather proof, life-span)? Works could capitalise on the existing nest box monitoring programs and extensive network of nest boxes across Thurgoona-Wirlinga to address some of these issues. For example, studies could compare the information gained from the baseline camera monitoring program to that obtained from regular nest box surveys, identifying sites where Squirrel Gliders are present but not using the nest boxes. Additional camera surveys could determine if sites with nest boxes have higher activity than those without. Alternatively, cameras could be placed facing nest boxes to record all species that enter/exit, including pest species (e.g. myna, black bird) or predators such as goannas.

Population demographics

Information on population size, survival and reproductive rates are very informative for management, and could be targeted by additional works. Including markrecapture surveys at a limited number of sites as a 'supplementary' element to the program could provide interesting insights if carefully designed to answer specific questions. For example, what is the population density in areas of high and low habitat quality? Are squirrel gliders living in areas heavily impacted by urban disturbance (light, noise etc.) following normal breeding patterns? What is the population size in key 'stronghold' patches?

Resource use in urban areas

How do Squirrel Gliders use different resources within the urban and agricultural matrix? Do they make use of paddock trees or forage within backyards and urban parks? How are they affected as scattered trees are lost over time? Cameras could be placed on urban hollow-bearing trees, including those in paddocks and backyards. Community members could be involved in 'stag watching' expeditions to see if these isolated trees are used as nesting sites for gliders or other arboreal mammals. Additional works could be carried out to further investigate seasonal patterns or set cameras at key sites before and after management interventions are undertaken.

Identify sources of mortality

What are the different sources of mortality for Squirrel Gliders within urban areas? This could include surveys of roadways and fence lines, interviews with local community members about predation or dead animals that are observed.

Wildlife crossing structures and corridors

How effective are canopy bridges, glider poles and planted corridors at facilitating connectivity across the region? GPS-telemetry could provide fine scale information

on where gliders are moving throughout the region. What factors encourage Squirrel Gliders to use crossing structures? Are they crossing roads? How wide or old does a corridor need to be before it is functional? This could include additional genetic studies to complement the analyses that are currently underway and track changes in movement and gene flow over time.

Population viability analysis/connectivity analysis

Detailed analyses of population viability and habitat connectivity are incredibly valuable to management. Potential questions that can be answered include: Are certain habitat areas viable? Is there sufficient habitat to support populations in Wirlinga? Do patches need enhancement in size or quality?

However, these analyses are only as good as the data that is used to inform them, and are often based on a number of underlying assumptions about population size and habitat quality that may or may not be accurate. For example, in the absence of data on the actual distribution and abundance of Squirrel Glider populations, the previous population viability analysis conducted across Albury-Thurgoona had to make assumptions based on information from other landscapes, some of which did not hold true when compared with field data. Instead, these analyses would benefit from the data generated by the monitoring program. For example, the occupancy data collected over several years of monitoring would allow rigorous analysis of patch size, landscape connectivity and viability of patches would require a spatially explicit population viability analysis based on up-to-date empirical data. This could also predict the effects of future landscape change (e.g. the predicted loss of habitat) on population viability, allowing recommendations that are more specific to Thurgoona-Wirlinga region.

Appendix 3 – Summary of potential monitoring methods for Squirrel Gliders

Spotlighting

- Can provide information on the presence and distribution of Squirrel Gliders, as well as information on behaviour.
- Low cost and low effort. Can involve members of the community. Does not require a high level of expertise.
- Difficult to conduct in urban areas due to disturbance to the local community.
- Low detection rates, particularly when conducted with larger groups (disturbance). Very hard to discern changes in population size over time.

Hairtubes

- Baited PVC tubes lined with double-sided tape to collect hair samples from animals that enter them.
- Cheap and easy to implement.
- Can be used to identify presence and distribution of animals across the landscape.
- Very difficult to tell the difference between Squirrel Gliders and Sugar Gliders (some say impossible).
- Cannot be used to identify population sizes over time and not sufficient to obtain DNA from hair samples. As such, we don't recommend this method for the needs of the ACC's long-term monitoring strategy.

Camera traps

- Provide information on distribution and indexes of population abundance. Newly developed methods are moving towards enabling population estimates even when individual animals cannot be identified.
- The initial cost is expensive (purchasing the cameras), but as the equipment can be re-used over a long period of time it becomes less expensive in the long-term.
- Does not require expert staff to deploy and monitor cameras. Can be conducted by citizen scientists and enhance community engagement.

Nest box surveys

- Nest-boxes are a useful tool to determine the presence of Squirrel Gliders within patches
- However, there are several risks to relying only on nest box data to learn about a patch
- As squirrel gliders do not rely only on nest-boxes, nest-boxes don't give us good information on absence. If we check a nest box and find no squirrel glider, this may be because there are no gliders at the site, or it may be that they simply haven't used that nest box (e.g. perhaps there are enough hollows, or the nest box is not in the best location).
- There are likely to be some biases in where nest boxes occur (e.g. placed in easy to reach locations, or areas where hollows are thought to be low).
- Signs of glider presence (e.g. nests, chewing at box entrance) are hard to use as an indicator of change over time. For example, if we note a nest that is not 'fresh', can we tell if it is 1 year old? 2 years old?

Trapping surveys

- Provide information on distribution, abundance, population density, survival and reproductive rates. Can also be used to fit tracking collars and collect genetic samples.
- Requires trained experts and rigorous ethics approval. Cannot be conducted by citizen scientists.
- Can have low detection rates. More disturbance to the animals than other methods. High risk of traps being stolen or vandalised in areas frequented by people.
- 5–7 night surveys are generally required (best-practice) to obtain reliable estimates of population size. Shorter surveys (e.g. fewer than 4 nights) risk being affected by environmental factors that influence capture rates (e.g. full moon, inclement weather) and are likely to miss a large portion of resident animals (our unpublished data). To use more sophisticated mark-recapture analyses, a minimum of three repeat surveys are required at a given site.
- While population size, survival and reproductive rates are interesting to monitor, the cost and expertise required to obtain this data will likely become prohibitive over time.
- Unfortunately animals tagged during mark-recapture surveys cannot reliably be recognised in camera images due to low the low-resolution, 'night-vision' images (or video). They are also unlikely to be reliably recognised during non-invasive inspections of nest boxes.

Radio/GPS telemetry

- Track the movements of individuals. Can provide detailed information on the use of habitat, corridors and barriers.
- Can be expensive, both in time and cost of equipment. Need trained staff to fit collars and track individuals. GPS collars that are small enough for use on gliders are very costly (>\$1500 each), particularly in the volume required to obtain statistically meaningful results.

Genetic analysis

- Can provide a wealth of information about population size, connectivity, population dynamics, social structure and source-sink dynamics.
- Requires trapping surveys to collect tissue samples (not yet available from hair samples).
- DNA must be carefully stored, extracted in a laboratory and the resulting data must be analysed by trained experts.

References

Claridge, A.W., van der Ree, R., 2004. Recovering endangered populations in fragmented landscapes: the squirrel glider *Petaurus norfolcensis* on the south-west slopes of New South Wales. Conservation of Autralia's Forest Fauna, Second Edition, 678-687.

Crane, M.J., Lindenmayer, D.B., Cunningham, R.B., 2014. The value of countryside elements in the conservation of a threatened arboreal marsupial petaurus norfolcensis in agricultural landscapes of South-Eastern Australia-The disproportional value of scattered trees. PLoS One 9.

Davidson, I., Datson, G., McLennan, B., 2004. Thurgoona Threatened Species Conservation Strategy. Albury-Wodonga Development Corporation.

Driscoll, D.A., Banks, S.C., Barton, P.S., Lindenmayer, D.B., Smith, A.L., 2013. Conceptual domain of the matrix in fragmented landscapes. Trends in Ecology and Evolution 28, 605-613.

Fischer, J., Fazey, I., Briese, R., Lindenmayer, D.B., 2005. Making the matrix matter: Challenges in Australian grazing landscapes. Biodiversity And Conservation 14, 561-578.

Francis, M.J., Spooner, P.G., Matthews, A., 2015. The influence of urban encroachment on squirrel gliders (Petaurus norfolcensis): Effects of road density, light and noise pollution. Wildlife Research 42, 324-333.

Garden, J., McAlpine, C., Peterson, A., Jones, D., Possingham, H.P., 2006. Review of the ecology of Australian urban fauna: A focus on spatially explicit processes. Austral Ecology 31, 126-148.

Holland, G.J., Alexander, J.S.A., Johnson, P., Arnold, A.H., Halley, M., Bennett, A.F., 2012. Conservation cornerstones: Capitalising on the endeavours of long-term monitoring projects. Biological Conservation 145, 95-101.

Quin, D.G., 1995. Population ecology of the squirrel glider (*Petaurus norfolcensis*) and the sugar glider (*P. breviceps*) (Marsupialia: Petauridae) at Limeburners Creek, on the central north coast of New South Wales. Wildlife Research 22, 471-505.

Ramalho, C.E., Hobbs, R.J., 2012. Time for a change: Dynamic urban ecology. Trends in Ecology and Evolution 27, 179-188.

RPS, 2013. Thurgoona-Wirlinga Precinct Structure Plan, Prepared for Albury City Council.

Sharpe, D.J., Goldingay, R.L., 2007. Home range of the Australian squirrel glider, Petaurus norfolcensis (Diprotodontia). Journal of mammalogy 88, 1515-1522.

Sharpe, D.J., Goldingay, R.L., 2010. Population ecology of the nectar-feeding squirrel glider (Petaurus norfolcensis) in remnant forest in subtropical Australia. Wildlife Research 37, 77-88.

van der Ree, R., 2002. The population ecology of the Squirrel Glider *Petaurus norfolcensis*, within a network of remnant linear habitats. Wildlife Research 29, 329–340.

August 2016 Proposal for long-term monitoring of Squirrel Glider populations in Thurgoona-Wirlinga Royal Botanic Gardens Victoria van der Ree, R., Bennett, A.E., 2003. Home range of the squirrel glider (*Petaurus norfolcensis*) in a network of remnant linear habitats. Journal Of Zoology 259, 327–336.

van der Ree, R., Bennett, A.F., Gilmore, D.C., 2004. Gap-crossing by gliding marsupials: thresholds for use of isolated woodland patches in an agricultural landscape. Biological Conservation 115, 241–249.